

ATTACHMENT 5.11-B: LAND USE PLANS AND POLICIES CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS

ATTACHMENT 5.11-B: LAND USE PLANS AND POLICIES CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
Suisun Marsh Protection Plan		
<p>ENV-1: Habitat Diversity. The diversity of habitats in the Suisun Marsh and surrounding upland areas should be preserved and enhanced wherever possible to maintain the unique wildlife resource.</p>	Yes	<p>The proposed LS Power Grid California, LLC (LSPGC) Collinsville Substation and portions of the proposed Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) 12 kilovolt (kV) Distribution Line would be constructed within Upland Grassland habitat identified by the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan. The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation would cause a permanent disturbance of approximately 11 acres. The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would cause a permanent disturbance of approximately 0.8 acre. Therefore, the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would cause the permanent loss of approximately 11.8 acres of potential Upland Grassland habitat. Although permanent impacts associated with the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line are unavoidable, implementation of applicant-proposed measure (APM) BIO-2 would ensure that all areas temporarily disturbed by Proposed Project activities would be restored in accordance with a CPUC-approved restoration plan. Therefore, upland areas would be preserved where possible to maintain the Upland Grassland habitat.</p>
<p>ENV-3: Upland Grassland Uses. Existing uses should continue in the upland grasslands and cultivated areas surrounding the critical habitats of the Suisun Marsh in order to protect the Marsh and preserve valuable marsh-related wildlife habitats. Where feasible, the value of the upland grasslands and cultivated lands as habitat for marsh-related wildlife should be enhanced.</p>	Yes	<p>The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and portions of the proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be constructed within Upland Grassland habitat that is occasionally used for grazing and hay cultivation. The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation would change the existing use of approximately 11 acres that are currently used for grazing and hay cultivation; however, these uses would continue around the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation. The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not have a significant effect on the existing agricultural uses in the area as approximately 0.8 acre would be converted to non-agricultural uses.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
<p>UTIL-1: Utilities in the Marsh. In the Suisun Marsh and upland areas necessary to protect the Marsh, improvements to public utility facilities should follow these planning guidelines:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. New electric power transmission utility corridors should be located at least one-half mile from the edge of the Marsh. New transmission lines, whether adjacent to the Marsh or within existing utility corridors, should be constructed so that all wires are at least six feet apart. 	<p>No</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment and PG&E 500 kV Interconnection would be located within 0.5 mile of the edge of the marsh. The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would be located in the marsh. Responsibility for administering the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan is split between the Primary and Secondary Management Areas, with the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) overseeing the Primary Management Area and Solano County responsible for the Secondary Management Area. Approximately 1.7 miles of the proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would be within the Primary Management Area and subject to BCDC jurisdiction. LSPGC would be required to obtain a Marsh Development Permit from BCDC, as the BCDC is responsible for regulating activities within the Primary Management Area. Thus, consistency of the LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment, which would be located in the Primary Management Area, with the UTIL-1: Utilities in the Marsh policy would be addressed through the BCDC permitting process.</p> <p>The Suisun Marsh Protection Plan assigns primary responsibility for carrying out the plan in the Secondary Management Area to local governments, and they must develop a protection program in accordance with the plan and receive certification from the BCDC. Solano County developed and received certification for its Suisun Marsh LPP. The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and portions of the proposed PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, 230 kV Overhead Segment, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be located in the Secondary Management Area where they terminate at the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation. Consistency with Solano County's Suisun Marsh LPP is analyzed under the heading of that same name in this table.</p> <p>Further, under Section 35 of General Order (GO) 95, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) regulates all aspects of design, construction, operations, and maintenance (O&M) of electrical power lines and fire safety hazards for utilities subject to its jurisdiction, including conductor spacing. The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment, and PG&E 500 kV Interconnection would be constructed in accordance with GO 95.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
<p>b. Urban utilities and public services (e.g. natural gas lines, electric lines for local power distribution, domestic water mains, and sewers) should be allowed to extend into the Suisun Marsh and the adjacent upland area necessary to protect the Marsh, only to serve existing uses and other uses consistent with protection of the Marsh, such as agriculture. However, utilities in the secondary management area necessary for the operation of water-related industry within the area designated for such use in the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan at Collinsville would be permissible.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Proposed Project would address multiple overloads on the 230 kV corridor between the Contra Costa and Newark substations under normal (N-0), N-1, and N-2 contingency conditions and provide additional supply from the 500 kV system into the northern greater San Francisco Bay Area to increase reliability to the area and advance additional renewable generation in the northern area. The Proposed Project would serve existing energy uses in the area by increasing reliability. In addition, the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation would be within the Collinsville-Montezuma Hills Wind Resource Area (WRA) where there are numerous operating and proposed wind energy facilities. Therefore, the Proposed Project would serve the existing wind energy facilities adjacent to the Suisun Marsh.</p>
<p>c. Within the Marsh, new electric lines for local distribution should be installed underground unless undergrounding would have a greater adverse environmental affect on the Marsh than above-ground construction, or the cost of underground installation would be so expensive as to preclude service. Any distribution line necessary to be constructed above ground should have all wires at least six feet apart.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not be installed underground as the cost of underground installation would be so expensive as to preclude service. Under Section 35 of GO 95, the CPUC regulates all aspects of design, construction, and O&M of electrical power lines and fire safety hazards for utilities subject to its jurisdiction, including conductor spacing. The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be constructed in accordance with GO 95.</p>
<p>UTIL-4: Consultation. All plans for construction within the Marsh should be reviewed by the Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) [formerly Fish and Game] to further assure that construction methods and timing will have a minimal impact on Marsh flora and fauna.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>CDFW would be consulted prior to construction activities to ensure the Proposed Project design minimizes impacts to biological resources to the extent feasible.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
<p>LU-10: Land Use. Agricultural uses consistent with protection of the Marsh, such as grazing and grain production, should be maintained in the secondary management area. In the event such uses become infeasible, other uses compatible with protection of the Marsh should be permitted. The value of the upland grassland and cultivated lands as habitats for Marsh-related wildlife should be maintained and enhanced where possible by planting or encouraging valuable wildlife food or cover plant species.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation would cause the loss of approximately 11 acres of land used for grazing and hay cultivation; however, these uses would continue around the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation. The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not have a significant effect on the existing agricultural uses in the area as approximately 0.8 acre would be converted to non-agricultural uses.</p> <p>The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and portions of the proposed LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be in the ASM-160 zoning district designated by Solano County. The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation, LPSGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not conflict with the Solano County General Plan’s Land Use Element and Suisun Marsh LPP because the ASM-160 designation allows for utility facilities and infrastructure if a Marsh Development Permit is obtained. However, the CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the Proposed Project pursuant to CPUC GO 131-D, Section XIV.B. Thus, local permitting is pre-empted by the state. Consequently, public utilities are directed to consider the Suisun Marsh LPP, but the regulations are not applicable.</p>
<p>Suisun Marsh Local Protection Plan¹</p>		
<p>Solano County Code 28.22: Suisun Marsh Agricultural (A-SM) Districts. Any development within the Suisun Marsh, as defined by Section 29114 of the Public Resources Code, shall be subject to obtaining a Marsh Development Permit pursuant to the Suisun Marsh Preservation Act of 1977, and as provided for in Section 28.104 of this Code. A utility facility or infrastructure outside of a right-of-way (ROW) is an allowable use if a Marsh Development Permit is acquired.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and portions of the proposed LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be in the ASM-160 zoning district designated by Solano County. The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation, LPSGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not conflict with the Solano County General Plan’s Land Use Element and Suisun Marsh LPP because the ASM-160 designation allows for utility facilities and infrastructure if a Marsh Development Permit is obtained.</p>

¹ The Suisun Marsh Preservation Act of 1977 required Solano County to bring its general and specific plans, ordinances and zoning maps, land use regulations, and other related standards and controls into conformity with the provisions of the Suisun Marsh Preservation Act and the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan. Therefore, Solano County developed the Suisun Marsh LLP (amended in 2018), which details the aspects of the Solano County General Plan.

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
		However, the CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the Proposed Project pursuant to CPUC GO 131-D, Section XIV.B. Consequently, public utilities are directed to consider the Suisun Marsh LPP, but the regulations are not applicable.
Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan		
Water Quality. Protect and, where possible, improve water quality for beneficial uses in Suisun Marsh, including estuarine, spawning, and migrating habitat uses for fish species as well as recreational uses and associated wildlife habitat.	Yes	As discussed in Section 5.10 Hydrology and Water Quality, the proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would not have a significant permanent impact on water quality in the Suisun Marsh.
Delta Plan		
<p>ER P3 (23 CCR Section 5007): Protect Opportunities to Restore Habitat. Within the priority habitat restoration areas depicted in Appendix 5, significant adverse impacts to the opportunity to restore habitat as described in section 5006, must be avoided or mitigated.</p> <p>Impacts referenced in subsection (a) will be deemed to be avoided or mitigated if the project is designed and implemented so that it will not preclude or otherwise interfere with the ability to restore habitat as described in section 5006.</p> <p>Impacts referenced in subsection (a) shall be mitigated to a point where the impacts have no significant effect on the opportunity to restore habitat as described in section 5006. Mitigation shall be determined, in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, considering the size of the area impacted by the covered action and the type and value of habitat that could be restored on that area, taking into account existing and proposed restoration plans, landscape attributes, the elevation map shown in Appendix 4, and other relevant information about habitat restoration opportunities of the area.</p>	Yes	<p>The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be in the Suisun Marsh priority habitat restoration area identified in the Delta Stewardship Council's (DSC's) 2013 Delta Plan. A project is subject to the Delta Plan's regulatory provisions if it meets all the following conditions defined by Section 21065 of the PRC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will occur, in whole or in part, within the boundaries of the Delta or Suisun Marsh; • Will be carried out, approved, or funded by the state or a local public agency; • Is covered by one or more provisions of the Delta Plan; • Will have a significant impact on the achievement of one or both of the coequal goals or the implementation of government-sponsored flood control programs to reduce risks to people, property, and state interests in the Delta. <p>The Delta Plan defines the coequal goals as providing a more reliable water supply for California and protecting, restoring, and enhancing the Delta ecosystem.</p> <p>The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation would cause the loss of approximately 11 acres of land in the Suisun Marsh priority habitat restoration area. However, when compared the total extent of the Suisun Marsh priority</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
		<p>habitat restoration area, which totals approximately 81,346 acres, the proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation’s impact would be negligible. Therefore, the proposed LSPGC would not have a significant impact on the opportunity to restore habitat.</p> <p>The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would cause the loss of approximately 0.8 acre of land in the priority habitat restoration area. The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not have a significant impact on the opportunity to restore habitat as the area of permanent impacts would be negligible when compared to the entire extent of the Suisun Marsh priority habitat restoration area. As a result, the Proposed Project would not have a significant impact on the protection, restoration, and enhancement of the Delta ecosystem. In addition, the Proposed Project would have no impact on the water supply or government-sponsored flood control programs. Therefore, the Proposed Project would not be subject to ER P3 (23 CCR Section 5007).</p>
Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of the Delta		
<p>P-1: Utilities and Infrastructure. Impacts associated with construction of transmission lines and utilities can be mitigated by locating new construction in existing utility or transportation corridors, or along property lines, and by minimizing construction impacts. Before new transmission lines are constructed, the utility should determine if an existing line has available capacity. To minimize impacts on agricultural practices, utility lines shall follow edges of fields. Pipelines in utility corridors or existing rights-of-way shall be buried to avoid adverse impacts to terrestrial wildlife. Pipelines crossing agricultural areas shall be buried deep enough to avoid conflicts with normal agricultural or construction activities. Utilities shall be designed and constructed to minimize any detrimental effect on levee integrity or maintenance, agricultural uses and wildlife within the Delta. Utilities shall consult with communities early in the planning process for the purpose of creating an</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Proposed Project would be sited and designed to minimize impacts on sensitive receptors, nearby communities, agricultural operations, and biological resources. The Proposed Project would address multiple overloads on the 230 kV corridor between Contra Costa and Newark under normal (N-0), N-1, and N-2 contingency conditions. Therefore, the Proposed Project would address capacity constraints on existing transmission lines.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
appropriate buffer from residences, schools, churches, public facilities and inhabited marinas.		
San Francisco Bay Plan		
<p>Policy 5: High Voltage Transmission Lines. High voltage transmission lines should be placed in the Bay only when there is no reasonable alternative. Whenever high voltage transmission lines must be placed in the Bay or in shoreline areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New routes should avoid interfering with scenic views and with wildlife, to the greatest extent possible; and • The most pleasing tower and pole design possible should be used. High voltage transmission lines should be placed underground as soon as this is technically and economically feasible. 	Yes	<p>The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would be buried beneath the surface in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta (Delta) waterways and would not affect any scenic views.</p> <p>Implementation of APMs BIO-18 through BIO-25 would minimize impacts to aquatic wildlife in the Delta waterways. No towers or poles would be located in an area covered by the San Francisco Bay Plan.</p>
Solano County General Plan – Public Facilities and Services Element		
<p>PF.P-49: Utilities. Use parallel or existing rights-of-way for gas, electric, and telephone utility alignments in a manner that avoids heavily developed areas.</p>	Yes	<p>The Proposed Project would not use a parallel or existing ROW; however, the Proposed Project would avoid heavily developed areas. The proposed LSPGC Collinsville Substation, LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be in the unincorporated community of Collinsville, which is in southeastern Solano County. The Collinsville area is not a heavily developed area and is characterized by utility operations, wind farms, and agricultural lands. The nearest residences in the Collinsville area are approximately 0.8 mile southwest of the previously mentioned Proposed Project components. Although the Proposed Project would not use parallel or existing ROWs, the Proposed Project components within Solano County would avoid heavily developed areas.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
<p>PF.P-50: Utilities. Locate, design, and construct transmission lines in a manner that minimizes disruption of natural vegetation, agricultural activities, scenic areas, and avoids unnecessary scarring of hill areas.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Proposed Project would be located, designed, and constructed in a manner that minimizes disruption of natural vegetation, agricultural activities, scenic areas, and avoids unnecessary scarring of hill areas. Sections 5.1 Aesthetics, 5.2 Agricultural and Forestry Resources, and 5.4 Biological Resources discuss impacts on scenic areas, agricultural activities, and natural vegetation, respectively, as well as any applicable APMs that would minimize impacts.</p>
<p>PF.P-51: Utilities. Encourage undergrounding of local utility distribution lines where feasible.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would not be undergrounded as the cost of underground installation would be so expensive as to preclude service.</p>
<p>Solano County General Plan – Collinsville Land Use Plan</p>		
<p>SS.P-21: Residential Character. Preserve the residential character of the Collinsville town site; ensure that any future nonresidential uses are compatible with the residential character and that an adequate buffer is established between residential and nonresidential uses.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LPSGC Collinsville Substation, LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line are covered by the Collinsville Land Use Plan. The proposed LPSGC Collinsville Substation would be approximately 0.75 mile northeast of the unincorporated community of Collinsville, the LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment would be approximately 0.85 mile east, the PG&E 500 kV Interconnection would be approximately 0.85 mile northeast, and the PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be approximately 0.25 mile northeast. As discussed in Section 5.1 Aesthetics, the Proposed Project would not substantially degrade the visual character of the Collinsville area.</p> <p>The proposed LPSGC Collinsville Substation, LSPGC 230 kV Overhead Segment, PG&E 500 kV Interconnection, and PG&E 12 kV Distribution Line would be within the Collinsville-Montezuma Hills WRA where there are numerous operating wind turbines. Therefore, the Collinsville area already includes energy facilities, and the Proposed Project would not change the Collinsville character by adding utility lines and facilities.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
Solano County Zoning Code		
<p>Article II of the Solano County Zoning Code details the allowable uses on the lands crossed by the Proposed Project, including the following (Solano County, 2023b):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 28.21.20: Exclusive Agricultural District Land Uses and Permit Requirements. A utility facility or infrastructure outside of a R.O.W. requires a use permit. • 28.22.20: Suisun Marsh Agricultural District Land Uses and Permit Requirements. A utility facility or infrastructure outside of a R.O.W. requires a use permit. • 28.52.20: Marsh Preservation District Land Uses and Permit Requirements. A utility facility or infrastructure outside of a R.O.W. requires a use permit. 	Yes	The Proposed Project would be consistent with the Solano County Zoning Code as the Exclusive Agricultural and Marsh Preservation districts allow for utility facilities and infrastructure if a Use Permit is obtained. Utility facilities and infrastructure are permitted in Suisun Marsh Agricultural Districts if a Marsh Development permit is acquired. However, the CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the Proposed Project pursuant to CPUC GO 131-D, Section XIV.B. Consequently, public utilities are directed to consider the Suisun Marsh LPP, but the regulations are not applicable.
Sacramento County General Plan – Public Facilities Element		
<p>PF-86: Electric Transmission and Subtransmission Delivery System. Proposals to locate all new bulk substations and all other large scale energy transmission facilities equal to or greater than 100kV shall be submitted to Planning for review and comment in the form of a General Plan Conformity request.</p>	Not applicable	The CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the Proposed Project pursuant to CPUC GO 131-D, Section XIV.B. Therefore, the Proposed Project would not be required to receive review by Planning in the form of a General Plan Conformity Request.
<p>PF-90: Electric Transmission Facility Siting and Design. Transmission rights-of-way should avoid bisecting parcels wherever possible.</p>	Yes	The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would be installed underwater and would not cross bisecting parcels.

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
<p>PF-92: Electric Transmission Facility Siting and Design. Transmission lines should avoid to the greatest extent possible, cultural resources and biological resources such as wetlands, permanent marshes, riparian habitats, vernal pools, and oak woodlands. When routed through such areas, transmission lines should have maximum line spans and cross at the narrowest points which involve minimal cutting and cropping of vegetation, maintaining the drainage regime of wetland basins. Additionally, when feasible, such routes should be maintained to serve as biological dispersion corridors between areas of high biodiversity.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would be installed underwater in the Sacramento River. LSPGC would implement the APMs identified in Section 5.4 Biological Resources and Section 5.5 Cultural Resources to minimize impacts on biological and cultural resources.</p>
<p>PF-94: Electric Transmission Facility Siting and Design. Avoid routing transmission lines through areas currently used or projected to be used for subsurface mining operations. Preferred routing should follow mining setbacks to adjacent roadways.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>As discussed in Section 5.12 Mineral Resources, the proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would cross Hanson’s sand and gravel dredging operation; however, LSPGC has designed the submarine alignment to minimize the crossing length within the mine and would obtain a lease agreement and a lease encroachment permit from the California State Lands Commission (CLSC) to allow for construction and O&M within the existing mining lease. The planned construction activities would be coordinated with the CSLC and the current mining lease owner to avoid the dredging operation to the extent possible.</p>
<p>PF-95: Electric Transmission Facility Siting and Design. Transmission lines should avoid paralleling recreation areas, historic areas, rural scenic highways, landscaped corridors, drainage basins, wetland mitigation, tree planting, and designated federal or state wild and scenic river systems, although these areas may be considered as options if facilities already exist there.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would cross the Sacramento River, which is used for recreation. However, the LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment would be buried beneath the water surface and would not interfere with recreation in the Sacramento River.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
Contra Costa County General Plan – Land Use Element		
<p>LU-3-20: Transmission Lines. Where new electrical transmission lines are proposed, they should be developed parallel to existing transmission lines to the extent feasible.</p> <p>Mitigation of the environmental impact of building these facilities should be in close proximity to the area of impact.</p>	Yes	<p>The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment and 230 kV Underground Segment would be in Contra Costa County’s jurisdiction. The CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the Proposed Project pursuant to GO 131-D, Section XIV.B. As such, the Proposed Project would consider Contra Costa County’s policies, but would not need to comply with them. Mitigation for impacts associated with the LSPGC 230 kV Submarine Segment and 230 kV Underground Segment would occur in close proximity to the area of impacts to the extent feasible.</p>
Contra Costa County Ordinance Code		
<p>Chapter 84-64: Unrestricted District (U). All land within a U unrestricted district may be used for any lawful use, but the board of supervisors may hereafter amend this division to place land now placed in an unrestricted district into another district, or by proper amendment of this division may provide regulations for the use of land now in any unrestricted district.</p>	Yes	<p>The Proposed Project is a lawful use and would be an allowed use in the U district.</p>
City of Pittsburg Zoning Code		
<p>18.54.010: General Industrial District (I-G). Major utilities require a use permit.</p>	Yes	<p>The proposed LSPGC 230 kV Underground Segment would be consistent with the City of Pittsburg Zoning Code as the I-G district allows for major utilities if a Use Permit is obtained. However, pursuant to GO 131-D, Section XIV.B, the CPUC has sole and exclusive state jurisdiction over the siting and design of the Proposed Project. Consequently, public utilities are directed to consider City of Pittsburg regulations, but the regulations are not applicable as the City of Pittsburg does not have jurisdiction over the Proposed Project.</p> <p>The proposed LSPGC Telecommunications Line would be an allowed use in the I-G zone.</p>
<p>18.52.010: Waterfront Commercial District (CW). Telecommunication facilities are permitted.</p>	Yes	<p>The proposed LSPGC Telecommunications Line would be an allowed use in the CW zone.</p>

Plan or Policy	Consistent? (Yes/No)	Explanation
<p>18.60.030: Governmental and Quasipublic District (GQ). Telecommunication facilities are permitted.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC Telecommunications Line would be an allowed use in the GQ zone.</p>
<p>18.62.030: Planned Development District (PD). No use other than an existing use is permitted in a PD district except in accord with a PD plan or specific plan. A permitted or conditional use authorized by this title, or any other use found consistent with the intent of the general plan may be included in an approved PD plan or an adopted specific plan, consistent with the land use element of the general plan.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The proposed LSPGC Telecommunications Line would be consistent with the City of Pittsburg Zoning Code as the PD district allows for uses that are consistent with the city’s general plan. The PD zoned area the proposed LSPGC Telecommunications Line would cross is designated as residential. The proposed LSPGC Telecommunications Line would not affect residential land use designation; therefore, it would be consistent.</p>